UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
MICHAEL WARREN JOHNSON	Case No: <u>DNCW109CR000013-011</u>
	USM No: <u>22442-058</u>
Date of Original Judgment: October 30, 2009)
Date of Last Amended Judgment: N/A	Pro Se Defendant's Attorney
	Defendant 3 Automey
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Re	eduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment improvement improvement been lowered and made retroactive by the Unit § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking integrated the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	ted States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. to account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of	is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG	CF (Prior to Any Departures)
Original Offense Level: 27	Amended Offense Level: 23
Criminal History Category: IV	Criminal History Category: IV
Original Guideline Range: 240 months	Amended Guideline Range: 240 months
 The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rander The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less that of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably lesson. The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rander (explain): Due to the statutory mandatory minimum guideline calculations. 	n the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time s than the amended guideline range.
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment date	ted October 30, 2009, shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: February 8, 2013	Street 1-
Effective Date:	Martin Reidinger United States District Judge